

## AGREEMENT NO. 2021-30C

### THIRD AMENDMENT TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

This Third Amendment to Professional Services Agreement No. 2021-30 (Amendment) effective date of May 1, 2023, is entered into between the City of Camarillo, a general law city (City), and WKE, Inc., a California corporation (Consultant), collectively referred to as the Parties.

#### **RECITALS**

A. The Parties have entered into a written agreement entitled "Professional Services Agreement Number 2021-30" having an effective date of March 10, 2021 (Agreement) to provide for certain professional services in the amount of \$994,530 as outline in Exhibit A of Agreement from Consultant.

B. The Parties have entered into a written agreement entitled "First Amendment to Professional Services Agreement CC 2021-30A" having an effective date of October 11, 2021, notifying City that effective May 31, 2021, HDR Engineering, Inc. acquired all of the capital stock of WKE, Inc., making WKE, Inc. a wholly owned subsidiary of HDR Engineering, Inc. in a zero dollar amount.

C. The Parties have entered into a written agreement entitled "Second Amendment to Professional Services Agreement CC 2021-30B" having an effective date of February 16, 2022, to provide additional services for the soil cuttings produced during the geotechnical field investigation in the amount of \$9,400 for a total agreement amount of \$1,003,930.

D. All capitalized terms not defined in this Amendment have the meaning set forth in the Agreement.

E. The Parties desire to modify the Scope of Work in accordance with Section 3.3 of the Agreement.

**Section 1. Amendment.** The Agreement is amended to add the following:

1. Additional "Scope of Services" of the Agreement is amended to add the following:


Provide additional professional services in the amount of \$140,597, to provide additional grant application support and additional utility location services. See attached Exhibit A Scope of Services.

**Section 2. Compensation and Payment.** Third Amendment to Professional Services Agreement is for a not-to-exceed amount of \$140,597 for a total agreement amount of \$1,144,527.

**Section 3. Continuation of Terms of Agreement.** Except as expressly modified by the terms and provisions of this document, the remaining terms and provisions of the Agreement remain in full force and effect.

THE AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVES of the Parties have caused this Third Amendment, Agreement No. 2021-30, to be executed as of the Effective Date.

**CITY OF CAMARILLO**


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Greg Ramirez, City Manager

ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kristy Buxkemper, City Clerk

**WKE, INC.**, a California Corporation

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carlos Cadena, Senior Vice President

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel Weddell, Vice President

Attachments: Exhibit A Scope of Services

043743 (HDR Business License Number)  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Camarillo Business Tax Certificate Number**  
(WKE is a wholly owned subsidiary of HDR)

8/31/2023  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Expiration Date**



April 21, 2023

City of Camarillo  
Andrew Grubb, PE  
Principal Civil Engineer  
601 Carmen Drive  
Camarillo, CA 930101

**RE: Addendum 3 Request: CC2021-30 Camarillo Hills Drain Replacement at Ventura Blvd  
Proposal for Additional Utility Location and Grant Application Support**

Dear Mr. Grubb,

HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR or CONSULTANT) proposes to assist the City of Camarillo (City) in preparing the Bridge Investment Program (BIP) and Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) Federal grant applications and additional utility location for the construction of the Camarillo Hills Drain Bridge Replacement Project. Amendment No. 3 as proposed, includes the scope of the Benefit Cost Analysis (BCA) required for the BIP Grant application and the full RAISE application and BCA. The BIP application project management and grant application development will be completed under our previous Scoping project contract.

As part of the preliminary design, it was determined that widening the box by five (5) feet could substantially improve the hydraulic capacity of the existing box culvert. We propose adding new potholes to confirm the location of adjacent utilities to determine the costs associated with the potential widening of the box culvert.

Lastly, due to the delay in a Federal Project number from Caltrans, Caltrans has not been able to start review of the NEPA documents. As such The City has requested GPA proceed with environmental documentation pursuant to CEQA, and assist the City with meeting its Lead Agency requirements. The fee proposal in the original Agreement was prepared based on the assumption that environmental documentation pursuant to CEQA and NEPA would be prepared in tandem. Separating the documents requires changes to some of the technical study reports, additional revision cycles.

The following tasks are proposed for Amendment No. 3:

**BIP Grant Application Scope of Work**

The BIP application requires the completion of a Benefit Cost Analysis (Task 3.6.3) in this proposal letter and is included as part of our proposal.

**Task 3.6.3.1. Project Kick-Off/Strategic Guidance/Data Request**

Task be performed under separate contract.



#### **Task 3.6.3.2. Grant Application Preparation**

Task be performed under separate contract.

#### **Task 3.6.3.3. Benefit-Cost Analysis**

HDR will quantify the public benefits expected from the Project that demonstrate adherence with the BIP program goals. A key challenge of the grant application will be to provide compelling evidence of the merit of the project and its quantitative benefits in comparison to other applicants' projects.

We propose a four-step process for the economic analyses to be undertaken for this project:

##### *Step 1: Define Project Baseline and Alternative Scenarios*

Typically, the project benefits are estimated relative to a no-build scenario that factors in less capital-intensive improvements than the project being considered. Under this step, HDR will work with The City to clarify the baseline condition and the possible alternatives for consideration.

##### *Step 2: Identify Public Benefit Categories*

This step formalizes the public benefit categories to be evaluated. Included in this step is a strategy session by which the various components of the project will be discussed in order to fully define which elements should be included in the project scope in order to maximize probability of a grant award.

##### *Step 3: Conduct Benefit-Cost Analysis*

For each of the benefit categories identified in Step 2 above, structure and logic models will be developed that represent the methodology used to monetize each project benefit. They will then be used to estimate the benefits in Cal-B/C, a suite of MS Excel workbooks developed by Caltrans and currently maintained by HDR. HDR will collect model inputs from a variety of sources including The City, Caltrans, and other project documentation (e.g., its Feasibility Study, Environmental Assessment, cost estimates, etc.).

##### *Step 4: Issue Results*

In this step, materials for inclusion in the BIP grant application and in support of the findings described in the benefit-cost analysis section of the application are prepared. Typically, a short document is drafted with key sections for input directly into the application and a technical appendix is drafted that can be posted online and referenced in the text of the document. The appendix describes the evaluation approach, describes the data and assumptions used, and presents the analysis results.

**Deliverable:** Benefit-Cost Analysis and technical appendix to support the BIP grant application.

#### **RAISE Grant Application Scope of Work**

We propose to leverage the work completed on the BIP Funding Application above into a new application meeting the RAISE program guidelines and the evaluation criteria. The grant application is for the purpose of seeking construction funds.

#### **Task 3.6.4.1. Project Kick-Off/Strategic Guidance/Data Request**

Guidelines for the RAISE program were published as the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) December 14, 2022. HDR shall review the specific requirements for the NOFO with the City and discuss the general approach (and specifically relating to project eligibility and competitiveness) so as to reach agreement on a project definition for the application as well as how it meets the specific evaluation criteria for the given program application.



This task will include a kick-off conference call to discuss various options for the development of the application. HDR will use the project kick-off call as an opportunity to present a revised data request to the City and discuss our initial approach, work plan and proposed project schedule. Given that we have already prepared the prior BIP application, we believe that we can take the opportunity to better address the goods movement and tourism aspects of the project. We also intend to further speak to the equity and environmental justice issues surrounding the project. This may occur through review of the “Reconnecting Communities” activities described in the NOFO.

The kick-off call will also be an opportunity to review any relevant and new documentation related to the project already in hand and to identify a local match and funding plan that will enable the project to be competitive.

#### **Task 3.6.4.2. Grant Application Preparation**

HDR will assist the City in the preparation of (1) 2023 RAISE grant application. The orientation of the application shall be for the award of construction funds.

HDR will provide technical writing and review services for the application as well as the preparation of select graphics to enable the grant application to achieve its best possible fit to each program's evaluation criteria. We intend to leverage the graphics used previously as well as use of the narrative as a starting point for the new application.

HDR shall also provide guidance to the City on the preparation of the required SF424 forms which will need to accompany the application. These forms will require additional effort from the City in order to finalize and sign them. the City shall be responsible for obtaining any letters of support to supplement the grant application. Lastly, the City shall be responsible for the actual submittal of each grant application through the [www.grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov) website as this element of the grant application process is specifically excluded from our scope of services.

The application narrative will follow the latest NOFO guidance which will identify the project definition and closely follow the project evaluation and grant application requirements. HDR will design the application narrative for each to be easily accessible and emphasize each project's strengths with key themes throughout the application narrative.

The City will provide HDR with the current documentation (in response to the data request submitted as part of Task 3.6.4.1) detailing the project scope, budget, schedule, and likely impacts on transportation, the environment and equity in the region. HDR will then work with the City staff to identify the material risks to the project and the strategies that the City has developed to mitigate those risks.

It should be noted that identification of matching funds is critical to the grant application process. It is HDR's experience that upwards of 50% of the project cost should be from funding sources other than the funding source for the application to be competitive. Identification of committed funds as well as identification of potentially committed funds shall be the responsibility of the City. In the case of non-committed funds, the City shall be responsible for seeking out a letter of support from the proposed funding agency.



Deliverable:

- (1) completed FY 2023 RAISE Grant Application including the Benefit-Cost Analysis described in Task 3.6.4.3 below.

**Task 3.6.4.3. Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA)**

HDR will review the previous BCA that was produced for the project. We will update and quantify the previously identified public benefits expected from the project as needed in order to demonstrate adherence with the RAISE program goals. A key challenge of any grant application will be to provide compelling evidence of the merit of the project and its quantitative benefits in comparison to other applicants' projects. In this case, we will review the previous BCA in order to further monetize any foreseen benefits that were not previously captured. This scope of work specifically excludes the construction of a new BCA.

Deliverable: Benefit-Cost Analysis and technical appendix to support the RAISE grant application.

**Utility Scope of Work**

**Task 3.2.1.8 Utility Coordination**

CONSULTANT will hydroexcavate 7 potholes at 5 locations within the intersection of Las Posas Rd and Ventura Blvd. Potholes vary in dimensions. CONSULTANT estimates approximately 30 yards of material will be generated from the combined potholes. CONSULTANT estimates (3) 10-hour days to complete the task. Estimate based on average class C soil conditions. Adverse soil conditions or other delays may affect overall cost. Holes will be.

Traffic control plans will be prepared for three locations; 1) closure of a portion of the WB Ventura Blvd right turn pocket covering 2 potholes, 2) closure of the SB Right lane of Las Posas covering 2 potholes, and 3) night closure of 2 NB Las Posas through lanes, right turn lane, and one EB Ventura Blvd Through lane covering 2 potholes. The remaining pothole is not near travel lanes.

Borings will core through pavement at four (4) locations. Pavement will be repaired with filled with 1 slack slurry and AC cold Patch. It is assumed that the City will implement repair under a separate contract of these locations at a later date per City standard Utility Trench Within Pavement, Plate E-10.1.

**Separate CEQA and NEPA Environmental Documentation**

**Task 2.1 Project Initiation Document**

**Task 2.1.1: Preliminary Environmental Study**

Following issuance of the Notice to Proceed, GPA prepared a Draft Preliminary Environmental Study (PES) for the project. The first draft of the PES was submitted to the City for comment on July 30, 2021. GPA has prepared numerous iterations of the PES in response to the City's and HDR's comments since the first draft. The latest draft of the PES was shared with HDR on January 10, 2022. The project's design has since advanced, requiring substantial updates to the PES. GPA will update the PES with the latest design plans and coordinate the review and approval of the revised PES with Caltrans after the City's review and approval.



## **Task 2.2: Technical Studies**

### **Task 2.2.1: Archaeological Survey Report**

Stantec Consulting Ltd., (formerly Paleo Solutions), acting as a sub-consultant to GPA, has prepared an Archaeological Survey Report (ASR) to address their cultural resource requirements under CEQA for the project. Additional revisions to the ASR—not considered as part of the initial scope of work—will be necessary to address Caltrans requirements and revise the report. These revisions will require additional time to support Caltrans' tribal consultation obligations, document these efforts in a revised ASR, and coordinate and respond to comments on the ASR.

### **Task 2.2.5: Natural Environment Study**

GPA has prepared a Natural Environment Study (NES) to describe the existing biological resources on the project site, identify potential project impacts, and develop appropriate impact avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures. Additional revisions to the NES – not considered as part of the initial scope of work – will be necessary to address Caltrans requirements and revise the report.

### **Task 2.2.6: Jurisdictional Delineation Report**

GPA has prepared a Jurisdictional Delineation Report to summarize existing site conditions on waters of the U.S. and waters of the state to assist in determining project impacts to these wetlands and waters. Additional revisions to the NES – not considered as part of the initial scope of work – will be necessary to address Caltrans requirements and revise the report.

### **2.2.8: Water Quality Assessment Report**

GPA has prepared a Water Quality Assessment Report (WQAR) for the project to identify and describe existing waterways and watersheds, identify specific water quality concerns in the area, evaluate the potential for project impacts, and identify appropriate measures to minimize these impacts. Additional revisions to the WQAR – not considered as part of the initial scope of work – will be necessary to address Caltrans requirements and revise the report.

### **Task 2.2.9: Initial Site Assessment**

Geocon West, Inc., acting as a sub-consultant to GPA, has prepared an Initial Site Assessment (ISA) to estimate the existing potential for impacts to the project Site (i.e., levels of hazardous materials/wastes warranting regulatory cleanup action) from the presence of hazardous materials/wastes on, or within, the vicinity of the Site. Additional revisions to the ISA – not considered as part of the initial scope of work – will be necessary to address Caltrans requirements and revise the report.

### **Task 2.2.10: Borings Categorical Exemption – ADDITIONAL TASK**

Per the request of the City, GPA prepared a Notice of Exemption (NOE) to support the geotechnical investigation for the project. GPA reviewed the geotechnical work plan and prepared a Categorical Exemption (CE) memorandum (dated February 1, 2022) which included responses to all areas specified in CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2 that would negate the exemption by an exception.

### **Task 2.2.11: Historical Resources Technical Memorandum – ADDITIONAL TASK**

Per the request of the City, GPA prepared a Historical Resources Technical Memorandum to assess the potential for built environment historical resources to be present within the project area to support



the CEQA review for the project. The Historic Property Survey Report (HPSR), a task included in the original scope of work, is a summary document Caltrans uses as a its consultation and decision-making document for federal undertaking. Under the 106 Programmatic Agreement, it documents delineation of the Area of Potential Effects (APE), completion of the identification phase, and completion of the evaluation phase. Because Caltrans is not involved at this time, GPA prepared the Historical Resources Technical Memorandum to assist the City with meeting its Lead Agency requirements under CEQA. The HPSR and associated tasks will be prepared once federal funding is secured and the NEPA process initiated.

**Deliverable:** Two sets of Environmental Tech studies listed above.

### **Budget**

The proposed effort for the above work is summarized in the table below.

<b>Task</b>	<b>Hours</b>	<b>Fee</b>
Task 3.6.3 – BIP Funding Application	133	\$25,860
Task 3.6.4 – RAISE Funding Application	240	\$42,923
Task 3.2.1.8 – Utility Investigation*	32	\$38,432
Task 2 – Environmental Documentation	192	\$33,382
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>\$140,597</b>

\* Includes \$12,000 credit from original agreement for Potholing.

Based on the tasks described in this proposal, HDR proposes a time and materials, not-to-exceed fee of \$152,597 based on the updated Fee Schedule attached. The original contract agreement includes \$12,000 for potholing which will be credited to the City. Thus, we propose an additional budget of **\$140,597**.

### **Confidentiality**

HDR staff assigned to this project will maintain confidentiality with the City on this project, including all materials, information, and work products developed.

We very much appreciate this opportunity to assist the City with this critical project. Please direct any questions or concerns related to this proposal to HDR's Project Manager, Dan Weddell by phone at: 714-442-2823, or by email at: Daniel.Weddell@hdrinc.com.

Sincerely,

**HDR Engineering, Inc.**

Daniel Weddell, PE  
Vice President

*Attachments: Task Level Fee Proposal, Updated Rate Table*



EXHIBIT A-1  
WKE TEAM SUMMARY  
PAED and Final PS&E AMENDMENT 3  
Camrillo Hills Drain Box Culvert Replacement  
City of Camarillo

TASK	DESCRIPTION	WKE		GPA		Kasraie Consulting		EMI (DBE)		WM Survey		LIN (DBE)		TAP (DBE)		Hamner-Jewell		Kenedy Jenks		TOTALS	
TASK 0 - PROJECT MANAGEMENT		0	0	16	2,883	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	2,883
0.02	Project Team Meetings	0	0	16	2,883	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	2,883
TASK 2 - Environmental Documents		0	0	176	22,945	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	176	22,945
2.1	Environmental Documents																				
2.1.1	Preliminary Environmental Study	0	0	30	3,647	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	3,647
2.2	Technical Studies																				
2.2.1	ASR	0	0	4	843	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	843
2.2.5	NES	0	0	26	3,086	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	3,086
2.2.6	Jurisdictional Delineation Report	0	0	26	3,086	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	3,086
2.2.8	Water Quality Assessment Report	0	0	20	2,575	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	2,575
2.2.9	ISA	0	0	4	843	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	843
2.2.10	Borings CE	0	0	14	1,905	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	1,905
2.2.11	Built Environment Memorandum	0	0	52	6,961	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	6,961
TASK 3- Detail Plans, Specifications, & Estimates		399	72,215	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	399	72,215
3.2	60% PS&E (Unchecked Details)	26	3,432	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	3,432
3.2.1	Draft Roadway Plans																				
3.2.1.8	Utility Coordination	26	3,432	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	3,432
3.5	Regulatory Permits	373	68,783	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	373	68,783
3.6.3	Prepare Federal BIP Funding Application (2022)	133	25,860	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	133	25,860
3.6.4	Prepare Federal RAISE Funding Application(2022)	240	42,923	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	240	42,923
OTHER DIRECT COST			35,000		7,554		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		42,554
	Traffic Control		11,000		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		11,000
	Potholing		(12,000)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		(12,000)
	Archaeology/Paleo Sub		36,000		3,554		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		39,554
	ISA		0		4,000		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		4,000
TOTAL		399	107,215	192	33,382	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	591	140,597

03/27/23

EXHIBIT A-2  
WKE, Inc.  
PAED and Final PS&E AMENDMENT 3  
Camrillo Hills Drain Box Culvert Replacement  
City of Camarillo

TASK	DESCRIPTION	Principal in Charge	Project Manager	QA/QC Engineer	Project Engineer III	Project Engineer II	Assistant Engineer I	Technician /Drafter	Clerical	Grant Manager	Sr. Economist	Project Manager I	Economist	Project Planner II	Project Planner I	Graphic Design	Project Administrator II		Fee
		\$278.24	\$251.02	\$238.00	\$132.00	\$111.00	\$91.00	\$97.00	\$60.00	321.30	266.35	197.24	172.03	202.65	136.50	171.25	120.75	TOTAL Hours	
TASK 0 - PROJECT MANAGEMENT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00
TASK 1 - Scoping Study		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00
TASK 2 - Environmental Documents		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00
TASK 3- Detail Plans, Specifications, & Estimates		0	6	0	26	0	0	0	0	42	31	8	18	46	178	38	6	399	\$72,214.93
3.1	30% DESIGN SUBMITTAL																		
3.2	60% PS&E (Unchecked Details)																		
3.2.1.8	Utility Coordination				26													26	\$3,432.00
3.3	90% PS&E (Checked Details)																		
3.4	100% PS&E																		
3.5	Regulatory Permits																		
3.6	Prepare HBP E-76 Application for Construction																	0	\$0.00
3.6.3	Prepare Federal BIP Funding Application(2022)									12	11	8	8	46	38	8	2	133	\$25,860.01
3.6.4	Prepare Federal RAISE Funding Application(2022)		6							30	20	0	10	0	140	30	4	240	\$42,922.92
3.7	Bid Support																	0	\$0.00
TASK 4 - ROW Services		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00
TASK 5- SERVICES DURING CONSTRUCTION		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00
OTHER DIRECT COST																			\$35,000.00
	Traffic Control																		\$11,000.00
	Potholing (CREDIT)																		(\$12,000.00)
	Potholing																		\$36,000.00
	Pavement Repair																		\$0.00
TOTAL		0	6	0	26	0	0	0	0	42	31	8	18	46	178	38	6	399	\$107,214.93

**EXHIBIT A-3**  
**GPA**  
**PAED and Final PS&E AMENDMENT 3**  
**Camrillo Hills Drain Box Culvert Replacement**  
**City of Camarillo**

		142.43%	Profit	10%	Escalation	3.0%							
TASK	DESCRIPTION	Principal Env Planner	Sr. Assoc Env Planner	Sr. Env Planner	Associate Env Planner	Sr. Associate Biologist	Sr. Biologist	Associate Biologist	Sr. Architectural Historian	Architectura I Historian II	Sr. GIS Analyst		Fee
		99.00	71.80	54.47	34.70	66.85	53.54	34.66	46.05	31.23	49.52		
		\$271.93	\$197.22	\$149.61	\$95.31	\$183.62	\$147.06	\$95.20	\$126.49	\$85.78	\$136.02	TOTAL Hours	
TASK 0 - PROJECT MANAGEMENT		4	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	\$2,883.04
0.01	Administration and Management											0	\$0.00
0.02	Project Team Meetings	4		12								16	\$2,883.04
0.03	Establish QC Program											0	\$0.00
TASK 1 - Scoping Study		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00
TASK 2 - Environmental Documents		12	0	30	40	0	16	28	46	0	4	176	\$22,945.04
2.1	Environmental Documents												
2.1.1	Preliminary Environmental Study	2		8	20							30	\$3,646.94
2.1.2	Prepare APE Map											0	\$0.00
2.2	Technical Studies												
2.2.1	ASR	2		2								4	\$843.08
2.2.2	NA Consultation											0	\$0.00
2.2.3	HPSR											0	\$0.00
2.2.4	Paleo Memo											0	\$0.00
2.2.5	NES			4			6	14			2	26	\$3,085.64
2.2.6	Jurisdictional Delineation Report			4			6	14			2	26	\$3,085.64
2.2.7	Location Hydraulic Study/Summary Flood Evaluation											0	\$0.00
2.2.8	Water Quality Assessment Report	2		2	12		4					20	\$2,575.04
2.2.9	ISA	2		2								4	\$843.08
2.2.10	Borings CE	2		4	8							14	\$1,904.78
2.2.11	Built Environment Memorandum	2		4					46			52	\$6,960.84
2.3	CEQA IS/MND											0	\$0.00
2.4	NEPA Categorical Exclusion											0	\$0.00
TASK 3- Detail Plans, Specifications, & Estimates		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00
TASK 4 - ROW Services		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00
TASK 5- SERVICES DURING CONSTRUCTION		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00
OTHER DIRECT COST													\$7,554.30
	Travel												
	Reproductions												
	Mail												
	Subconsultant (Paleo Solutions) - ASR/Paleo												\$3,554.30
	Subconsultant (Geocon) - ISA												\$4,000.00
TOTAL		16	0	42	40	0	16	28	46	0	4	192	\$33,382.38

## EXHIBIT A

WKE Inc.

**EXHIBIT A-9**  
**PAED and Final PS&E AMENDMENT 3**  
**Camrillo Hills Drain Box Culvert Replacement**  
**City of Camarillo**

**OTHER DIRECT COST SCHEDULE**

<b>TRAVEL</b>					
	<b>Trips</b>	<b>Average Miles/Trip</b>	<b>Total Miles</b>	<b>Cost/Mile</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>
Santa Ana - Caltrans	2	60	120	\$0.545	\$65.40
Santa Ana - Camarillo	8	120	960	\$0.545	\$523.20
Subtotal					\$588.60

<b>PLOTS/REPRODUCTION/PHOTOS/COMERCIAL PRINTING</b>					
	<b>Check Prints</b>	<b>Submittals</b>	<b>Total Plots</b>	<b>Cost/Sheet</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>
Printings (11 x 17)	470	376	846	\$0.8	\$676.80
22x34	0	188	188	\$2.5	\$470.00
8-1.2 x 11	0	0	0	\$0.08	\$0.00
Subtotal					\$1,146.80

<b>OVERNIGHT MAIL</b>					
	<b>Deliveries</b>			<b>Average Cost/unit</b>	
Overnight Mails	20			\$25.0	\$500
Same Day Delivery Services	0			\$75.0	\$0
Subtotal					\$500

## EXHIBIT A



Updated Rate Tables– Camarillo Hills Drain Bridge Replacement Project  
January 6, 2023  
Page 1 of 3

### *Updated Rate Table: SD-5052 Camarillo Hills Drain Bridge Replacement*

#### **WKE/HDR**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Billing Rates</b>
Principal in Charge	\$278.24
Grant Manager	\$321.30
Project Manager II	\$251.02
Project Manager I	\$197.24
Sr. Economist	\$266.35
QA/QC Engineer	\$238.00
Sr. Engineer	\$198.00
Project Engineer III	\$132.00
Project Engineer II	\$111.00
Assistant Engineer II	\$96.00
Assistant Engineer I	\$91.00
Technician/Drafter	\$97.00
Clerical	\$60.00
Sr. Economist	\$266.35
Economist	\$172.03
Project Planner I	\$136.50
Project Planner II	\$202.65
Graphic Design	\$171.25
Project Administrator II	\$120.75

#### **GPA Consulting, Inc.**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Billing Rates</b>
Principal	\$271.93
Sr. Assoc. Env. Planner	\$197.22
Sr. Env Planner	\$149.61
Assoc. Env Planner	\$95.31
Sr. Assoc. Biologist	\$183.62
Sr. Biologist	\$147.06
Assoc. Biologist	\$95.20
Sr. Architectural Historian	\$126.49
Assoc. Architectural Historian	\$85.78
Sr. GIS Analyst	\$136.02

## EXHIBIT A



Updated Rate Tables– Camarillo Hills Drain Bridge Replacement Project  
January 6, 2023  
Page 2 of 3

### Kasraie Consulting

Category	Billing Rates
Principal in Charge	\$220.00
Senior Project Engineer	\$143.00
Project Engineer	\$132.00

### Earth Mechanics, Inc.

Category	Billing Rates
Principal	\$279.72
Principal Engineer	\$245.91
Senior Engineer	\$193.65
Senior Staff Engineer	\$113.73
Staff Engineer	\$107.58
Senior Tech.	\$138.32
Clerical	\$67.62

### WM Surveys

Category	Billing Rates
Lead Surveyor	\$213.19
Field Surveyor	\$205.62

### Tatsumi & Partners

Category	Billing Rates
Principal in Charge	\$232.33
Senior Associate	\$128.36
Tech Staff III	\$102.86
Tech Staff II	\$90.60
Tech Staff I	\$66.56

## EXHIBIT A



Updated Rate Tables– Camarillo Hills Drain Bridge Replacement Project  
January 6, 2023  
Page 3 of 3

### Hamner-Jewel Associates

Category	Billing Rates
Managing Senior Associate	\$265.00
Senior Associate II/Project Manager	\$175.00
Senior Associate I/Project Manager	\$146.00
Associate II (Acquisition/Relocation)	\$122.00
Associate I (Acquisition/Relocation)	\$116.00
Project Coordinator/Quality Control	\$112.00
Transaction/Escrow Coordinators	\$107.00
Transaction Assistant	\$67.00
Assistant/Clerical Support	\$52.00

### Kennedy Jenks Consultants

Category	Billing Rates
Eng-Sci-8	\$295.00
Eng-Sci-6	\$245.00
Eng-Sci-4	\$205.00
Sr, CADD-Design	\$175.00
Project Administrator	\$130.00